

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,365	03/04/2002	Daniel Jay Haines	3312	
7590 04/20/2004			EXAMINER	
Daniel J. Haines			DAVIS, CASSANDRA HOPE	
59 Dawn Road Levittown, PA 19056			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3611	
		DATE MAII ED: 04/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Λ			
Mr. What	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/087,365	HAINES, DANIEL JAY			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Cassandra Davis	3611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address -/			
THE REPLY FILED 29 January 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this ap (1) a timely filed amendment	oplication. A proper reply to a which places the application in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The days been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth than SIX MONTHS from the mailing do S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF date on which the petition under 37 CF ension and the corresponding amount ced statutory period for reply originally s	ate of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under et in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).					
2. $\hfill \square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or sear	ch (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancer	eling a corresponding number	r of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: §		considered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLI	ELY to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims v					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:				
Claim(s) allowed:		•			
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-5.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		Cassandra Davis Primary Examiner			

Art Unit: 3611

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/087,365 ...

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendment does not place the application in condition for allowance. The amendment does not further limit either by adding or deleting limitation to overcome the rejection of record.